The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JENNIFER L. HILLMAN and SURYA K. GOLI

Application 09/848,915

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

MAILED

SEP 2 6 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Before FLEMING, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, HARKCOM, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and MILLS, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

Per curiam.

Appellants were given a period of one month to respond to the "ORDER UNDER 37 CFR § 41.50(d)" mailed on March 18, 2005. In a communication received September 14, 2005, appellants stated that they were "withdraw[ing] the present appeal . . . without prejudice and without disclaimer in order to pursue claims in a continuing application."

Since appellants have withdrawn the appeal, the case is dismissed.

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Michael R. Fleming, Chief Administrative Patent Judge

Gary V. Harkcom, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge

Demetra J. Mills Administrative Patent Judge **BOARD OF PATENT**

APPEALS AND

INTERFERENCES

Foley & Lardner Suite 500 3000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20007

dem